REMARKS

Applicant is grateful to Examiner for the courtesy extended to Applicant's representative during a telephone conference on August 8, 2005. During the telephone conference, Examiner acknowledged that the election/restriction requirement issued on July 29, 2005 was issued in error, because a response to Examiner's species election was already made on June 13, 2005, when Applicant concurrently filed the required species election along with a Petition for Revival of Application for Patent Abandoned Unintentionally.

For Examiner's convenience, Applicant submits a courtesy copy of the Reply to Office Communication Pursuant to 37 C.F.R. §1.137(b) that was filed on June 13, 2005. Applicant also submits a copy of the self-addressed stamped postcard received from the U.S.P.T.O. confirming the filing of the Reply to the Office Communication.

CONCLUSION

Based on the foregoing amendments and remarks, Applicants respectfully request reconsideration and withdrawal of the second election/restriction requirement.

AUTHORIZATION

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required for consideration of this reply to Deposit Account No. 13-4500, Order No. 4649-4006US1. A DUPLICATE OF THIS DOCUMENT IS ATTACHED.

Respectfully submitted, MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P.

Dated: August 8, 2005

By:

Registration No. 54,084

Correspondence Address:

MORGAN & FINNEGAN, L.L.P. 3 World Financial Center New York, NY 10281-2101 (212) 415-8700 Telephone (212) 415-8701 Facsimile